

ORACLE®



From Lambdas to Bytecode

Brian Goetz Java Language Architect

SAM conversion

- Lambda expressions are anonymous methods
 - Always converted to "SAM" (single abstract method) types

```
interface Predicate<T> { boolean apply(T t); }
```

```
Collection<T> filter(Predicate<T> p) { ... }
```

kids = people.filter(#{ p -> p.age < 18 });</pre>

- Compiler takes care of type inference and SAM target selection
 - Figures out that the lambda can be converted to Predicate<Person>
- But then, what bytecode should the compiler emit?

Translation options

Could just translate to inner classes

```
• #{ p -> p.age < TARGET } translates to
class Foo$1 implements Predicate<Person> {
    private final int v0;
    Foo$1(int $v0) { this.$v0 = v0 }
    public boolean apply(Person p) {
        return (p.age < $v0);
    }
}</pre>
```

- Capture == invoke constructor (**new Foo\$1 (TARGET**))
- One class per lambda expression yuck
- Would burden lambdas with identity
 - Would like to improve performance over inner classes
- Why copy yesterday's mistakes?

Translation options

- Could translate directly to method handles
 - Desugar lambda body to a static method
 - Capture == take method reference + curry captured args
 - Invocation == MethodHandle.invoke
- Whatever translation we choose becomes not only implementation, but a binary specification
 - Want to choose something that will be good forever
 - Is the MH API ready to be a permanent binary specification?
 - Are raw MHs yet performance-competitive with inner classes?

Translation options

- What about "inner classes now and method handles later"?
 - But old class files would still have the inner class translation
 - Java has never had "recompile to get better performance" before
- Whatever we do now should be where we want to stay
 - But the "old" technology is bad
 - And the "new" technology isn't proven yet
 - What to do?

Invokedynamic to the rescue!

- We can use invokedynamic to delay the translation strategy until runtime
 - Invokedynamic was originally intended for dynamic languages, not statically typed languages like Java
 - But why should the dynamic languages keep all the dynamic fun for themselves?
- We can use invokedynamic to embed a *recipe* for constructing a lambda at the capture site
 - At first capture, a translation strategy is chosen and the call site linked
 - Subsequent captures bypass the slow path
 - As a bonus, stateless lambdas translated to constant loads

Layers of cost for lambdas

- Any translation scheme imposes costs at several levels:
 - Linkage cost one-time cost of setting up capture
 - Capture cost cost of creating a lambda
 - Invocation cost cost of invoking the lambda method
- For inner class instances, these correspond to:
 - Linkage: loading the class
 - Capture: invoking the constructor
 - Invocation: invokeinterface
- The key cost to optimize is *invocation* cost

Code generation strategy

- All lambda bodies are desugared to static methods
 - For "stateless" (non-capturing) lambdas, lambda signature matches SAM signature exactly
 - #{ String s -> s.length() == 10 }
 - Becomes (when translated to Predicate<String>)
 static boolean lambda\$1(String s) {
 return s.length() == 10;
 }

Code generation strategy

- For lambdas that capture variables from the enclosing context, these are prepended to the argument list
 - We only allow capture of (effectively) final variables
 - So we can freely copy variables at point of capture
 - #{ String s -> s.length() == target }
 - Becomes (when translated to Predicate<String>)
 static void lambda\$1(int target, String s) {
 return s.length() == target;
 }

Code generation strategy

- At point of lambda capture, compiler emits invokedynamic call to create SAM ("lambda factory")
 - Call arguments are the captured values (if any)
 - Bootstrap is method in language runtime ("metafactory")
 - Static arguments identify properties of the lambda and SAM
 list.filter(#{ s -> s.length() == target });
 Becomes

list.filter(indy[bsm=mf, args=...](target));

- Static args encode properties of lambda and SAM
 - Is lambda cacheable?
 - Is SAM serializable?

Static bootstrap arguments

• Static bootstrap arguments might look like

metaFactory(Lookup caller,	// provided by VM
String invokedName,	// provided by VM
MethodType invokedType,	// provided by VM
Class samClass,	<pre>// SAM conversion target</pre>
String samMethodName,	<pre>// SAM conversion target</pre>
MethodType samMethodType,	<pre>// SAM conversion target</pre>
MethodHandle handle,	// lambda body
Class implClass,	// lambda body
String implName,	// lambda body
MethodType implType,	// lambda body
String uniqueToken)	<pre>// needed for serialization</pre>

Benefits of invokedynamic

- Invokedynamic is the ultimate lazy evaluation idiom
 - For stateless lambdas that can be cached, they are initialized at first use and cached at the capture site
 - Programmers frequently cache inner class instances (like Comparators) in static fields, but indy does this better
- No overhead if lambda is never used
 - No field, no static initializer
 - Just some extra constant pool entries
- SAM conversion strategy becomes a pure implementation detail
 - Can be changed dynamically by changing metafactory

Possible translation strategies

- Spin inner classes dynamically
 - Generate the same class the compiler would, just at runtime
 - This is likely to be the initial strategy, until we can prove that there's a better one
- Spin per-SAM wrapper classes (one wrapper class per SAM type)
 - Use method handles, for invocation
 - Use ClassValue to cache wrapper for SAM
 - Some annoying interactions with erasure here
- Use dynamic proxies
- Use MethodHandle.asInstance
 - This is basically pushing the problem to the MH runtime
- Use VM-private APIs to build object from scratch

Serialization

• Users will expect this code to work:

```
interface Foo extends Serializable {
    public boolean eval();
}
Foo f = #{ false };
```

```
// now serialize f
```

- Since our code generation strategy is dynamic, our serialization strategy must be also
 - Answer: use readResolve / writeReplace
 - Instead of serializing lambda directly, serialize the recipe (say, to some well defined interface SerializedLambda)
 - On deserialization, reconstitute from recipe
 - Using then-current lambda creation strategy, which might be different from the one that originally created the lambda